## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
-VS-	)	No. 15-CR-133-GKF
VYSEAN LEANDRE EMBRY,	)	
Defendant(s).	)	

TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE GREGORY K. FRIZZELL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOVEMBER 17, 2016

## APPEARANCES

Danny Williams, U.S. Attorney, and Joel-lyn A. McCormick, Assistant U.S. Attorney, 110 West Seventh Street, Suite 300, Tulsa, Oklahoma, 74119, attorneys on behalf of the Plaintiff;

Shannon M. McMurray, Attorney at Law, The Firm on Baltimore, 1811 South Baltimore, Tulsa, Oklahoma, 74119, attorney on behalf of the Defendant.

REPORTED BY: BRIAN P. NEIL, RMR-CRR

United States Court Reporter

Brian P. Neil, RMR-CRR U.S. District Court - NDOK

1	Thursday, November 17, 2016		
2	* * * *		
3	DEPUTY COURT CLERK: Case No. 15-CR-133-GKF, USA v.		
4	Vysean Leandre Embry. Counsel, please state your appearances		
5	for the record.		
6	MS. MCCORMICK: Danny Williams and Joel-lyn		
7	McCormick on behalf of the United States.		
8	THE COURT: Good afternoon.		
9	MS. MCMURRAY: Good afternoon. Shannon McMurray for		
10	Mr. Embry and he's present.		
11	THE COURT: Good afternoon. Mr. Embry, first		
12	question: Did you receive a copy of the presentence		
13	investigation report dated April 21, 2016, in a timely fashion?		
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.		
15	THE COURT: Secondly, have you had a full, fair, and		
16	complete opportunity to discuss the contents of that report		
17	with Ms. McMurray as your attorney?		
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. To a certain extent, yes, Your		
19	Honor.		
20	THE COURT: Well, do you need more time?		
21	THE DEFENDANT: No, I don't. I'm ready to get this		
22	over with, sir.		
23	THE COURT: All right. But have you had sufficient		
24	time to discuss it with her?		
25	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.		

1 THE COURT: All right. Essentially, Counsel, 2 because of the procedural posture here, this all boils down to an assessment of how much time Mr. Embry should get for -- and 3 I don't know if I -- do I need to seal the courtroom? 4 MS. MCCORMICK: Your Honor, that would be my 5 opinion. 6 7 THE COURT: I think so. I think so. Because that's 8 really -- there's really only one issue here; right? 9 MS. MCCORMICK: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Ms. McMurray. 11 MS. MCMURRAY: Yes. THE COURT: And both of you frankly have -- in your 12 13 briefing, you've gone beyond that one issue, and I understand why, but that's the only issue that I can address. 14 15 So I will close the courtroom at this time so that I can discuss this matter with counsel. 16 17 MS. MCCORMICK: Your Honor, may the co-case agent remain in the courtroom? 18 19 THE COURT: Of course. We may well need testimony. 20 (Courtroom sealed) 21 (Sealed portion of this transcript filed under seal) 22 THE COURT: Ms. McMurray, you had mentioned that you 23 had some additional letters that you wished the court to take 24 into consideration that have not been provided to the court to 25 date; is that correct?

MS. MCMURRAY: That's correct, Judge. And I 1 2 apologize. 3 And, Judge, for housekeeping purposes, before I forget, if I could ask and be granted that Mr. Embry's letter, docket 4 5 No. 389, be sealed. THE COURT: I didn't know there was a letter that 6 7 was filed that was not sealed. 8 MS. MCMURRAY: It's not sealed, Judge. 9 THE COURT: When was it filed? 10 MS. MCCORMICK: September 26th. 11 MS. MCMURRAY: September 26th. 12 THE COURT: I see. I see it is. Any objection to 13 that? 14 MS. MCCORMICK: No, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Very well. That letter, which is at docket No. 389 -- is that the one we're speaking of? 16 17 MS. MCMURRAY: 389, yes, sir. 18 THE COURT: -- will be sealed. 19 And just for the benefit of everyone attending here 20 today, the court has received a number of letters to date and the court has reviewed each of those letters. It will take me 21 a little time. I'll take a recess and review these additional 22 23 letters. Is there anything else that I need to do or hear before 24 25 I recess to read these letters?

MS. MCCORMICK: No, Your Honor.

MS. MCMURRAY: No, sir.

THE COURT: Very well. We'll take a short recess.

(Short break)

THE COURT: The court has read the additional letters and the petition submitted on the defendant's behalf. The court notes for the record that neither the government nor the defendant has filed an objection to the presentence investigation report.

The court notes that the defendant's plea of guilty to Count 1 of the second superseding indictment was made pursuant to a written plea agreement at docket No. 283. Upon review of the presentence investigation report, the court hereby accepts the plea agreement insofar as it is covered by Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(c)(1)(A).

The court has reviewed the government's sealed motion for a downward departure at docket 397 recommending a downward departure of two levels for factors set forth in the motion. The court has reviewed the government's motion and finds that a departure is warranted and is justified based upon the factors cited by the government and the defendant. Therefore, the government's sealed motion for a downward departure at docket 397 is granted and the court will depart downward four levels to an offense level of 30. Combined with the defendant's criminal history category of VI, the resulting departure

guideline range of imprisonment is 168 to 210 months.

The court has also reviewed the defendant's motion to vary at docket No. 400, requesting an additional six-level variance in addition to the aforementioned departure. The defendant contends that a variance is warranted given the defendant's family history and his lack of criminal history over the past decade. The court notes that pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(e), a departure below the mandatory minimum is only justified for substantial assistance. Accordingly, the defendant's motion to vary at docket No. 400 must be denied.

The court recognizes that the sentencing guidelines are merely advisory and are not mandatory but has considered the sentencing guidelines, along with all of the factors set forth in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a), in order to reach an appropriate and reasonable sentence in this case. In determining a sentence, this court has considered the nature of the offense and the defendant's criminal history and his personal characteristics.

This case involved the defendant distributing at least 280 grams of cocaine base as the leader of a drug-trafficking organization involving seven other individuals. The defendant is 33 years old and is considered a career offender whose criminal history began at age 12. Based upon these factors, a sentence within the departure guideline range will serve as an

adequate deterrent to this defendant, as well as others, promote respect for the law, provide just punishment for the offense, and provide protection for the public.

A term of supervised release is required with special conditions, based upon the aforementioned factors, and will allow the defendant time to reintegrate into the community upon release from imprisonment, be monitored for future law violations, and receive appropriate drug treatment.

Sentencing disparities among defendants were considered in determining an appropriate sentence in this case, and restitution is not a factor.

Mr. Embry, if you'll rise, please, sir. In accordance with applicable law, this court hereby imposes the following sentence:

It is the order and judgment of this court that the defendant, Vysean Leandre Embry, is hereby committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of 180 months. The court recommends that the defendant be placed in a facility that will allow him the opportunity to participant in the Bureau of Prisons' residential drug abuse treatment program.

The court orders that the order for entry of agreed forfeiture money judgment and preliminary order of forfeiture of currency at docket No. 337 is hereby incorporated by reference.

And you may be seated, sir.

Based upon the defendant's financial profile, as outlined in the presentence report, the court finds that the defendant does not have the ability to pay a fine, and therefore, no fine will be imposed.

Upon the defendant's release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be placed on a term of supervised release for a period of ten years. Should that term of supervised release be revoked, an additional term of imprisonment of up to five years could be imposed at each revocation. And I'll note, as I found in the findings on the terms under the sentencing guidelines, the minimum time for supervised release under the guidelines -- or the prescribed time to be more accurate -- is ten years.

Immediately upon release from confinement, but in no event later than 72 hours thereafter, the defendant must report in person to the probation office in the district to which he's authorized to reside. While on supervised release, the defendant must not commit another federal, state, or local crime. The defendant must not own, possess, or have access to a firearm, ammunition, destructive device, or other dangerous weapon.

The defendant must, at the direction of the U.S. probation officer, cooperate with and submit to the collection of a DNA sample for submission to the combined DNA index system. Further, the defendant must not possess a controlled

substance and must refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance. The defendant must submit to one drug test within 15 days of his release on supervised release and at least two periodic drug tests within 120 days for use of a controlled substance.

The defendant must comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this court and must comply with the following additional special conditions:

Number one, the special search and seizure condition; number two, the special substance abuse treatment and testing condition; and number three, the special workforce development condition.

It's further ordered that a \$100 special monetary assessment be paid immediately to the United States Court Clerk for the Northern District of Oklahoma.

Ms. McMurray, I know you're aware of your obligation to consult with Mr. Embry regarding the advantages and disadvantages of perfecting an appeal and making a reasonable effort to determine whether Mr. Embry desires to perfect an appeal. And in order for this court to ensure that the record is clear, that you've complied with those obligations, I'm directing you on the record to file within 14 days after the written judgment is filed either a notice of appeal or an affidavit signed by you and Mr. Embry advising this court that you've consulted with him and he's advised you that he does not

wish to perfect an appeal.

MS. MCMURRAY: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: I believe the government should move to dismiss both the indictment, the superseding indictment, and Counts 2 through 29 of the second superseding indictment as to this defendant only.

MS. MCCORMICK: We so move as to this defendant only, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well. That motion is granted. The indictment, the superseding indictment, and Counts 2 through 29 of the second superseding indictment are dismissed as to Mr. Embry.

And I'm struck here with Mr. Embry's innate intelligence, his talent, his ability to influence others, and it's tragic that this amount of time is the time that Congress has directed be given to individuals who are involved in a drug conspiracy such as this.

I hope, Mr. Embry, and pray that you can make useful use of this time. I know it's a blow but you can actually turn the bad into good. And when you get out, particularly if you get involved in this RDAP, which is the residential drug abuse treatment program, you can shave time off the back end of your sentence. Obviously with good time you're looking at 85 percent of the time. Then, as I say, with the RDAP program, if you apply for that and are accepted, that will shave some

time off the back end. 1 So the court -- although I know it's tough to take when 2 you receive a sentence of this magnitude, and as we discussed 3 here just a few minutes ago, you understood that the sentence 4 5 was going to be significant and it's tragic, but under our system of justice, and as our United States Attorney said, I 6 mean, this kind of distribution of crack cocaine into the 7 8 community hurts other people, hurts families. 9 So is there anything further, Ms. McCormick? 10 MS. MCCORMICK: No, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Ms. McMurray? 12 MS. MCMURRAY: No, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: If there's nothing further, we are in 14 recess. 15 (The proceedings were concluded) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

24

25